UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,901	04/23/2007	Darrell H. Reneker	089498.0500.US	7722
	39905 7590 08/01/2011 Daniel J. Schlue		EXAMINER	
Roetzel & Andress			COLELLO, ERIN L	
222 S. Main St. Akron, OH 443			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Astion Comments	10/597,901	RENEKER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ERIN COLELLO	3734		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 16 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's amendment filed May 23, 2011. Claims 1-5 and 16 are pending and an action on the merits is as follows.

Applicant's arguments filed May 23, 2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lau et al. (US 5,876,432).

Regarding claim 1, Lau discloses a stent comprising: a stent member (Ref 220; Column 24, Lines 55-67); a release layer, wherein the stent member is coated with the release layer (Ref 224; Column 17, Lines 58-67; Column 18, Lines 19-35; Column 23, Lines 37-47; Column 24, Lines 55-67; wherein the tubular component is a layer that is spread over the inner surface of the stent and therefore the stent is coated with the tubular component layer); and an insoluble fibrous component, wherein the insoluble fibrous component is wrapped around the stent (Ref 222; Column 8, Lines 55-63; Column 9, Lines 34-41; Column 14, Lines 55-67; Column 15, Lines 1-31; Column 24, Lines 55-67; Column 25, Lines 1-14; wherein the fibers can be placed around the stent

and therefore wrap around the stent), wherein the insoluble fibrous component forms a reinforcing thrombus plug upon degradation of the release layer (Column 15, Lines 1-61; wherein the insoluble fibrous component helps reinforce the vessel wall and isolate aneurysms and is therefore forming a thrombus plug that isolates aneurysms), and wherein the insoluble fibrous component is secured in place during implantation by the release layer (Column 24, line 55- Column 25, line 14 and 38-53; wherein the insoluble fibrous component can be imbedded within the release layer and is therefore secured in place by the release layer), the release layer being designed to degrade only after implantation of the stent is complete (Column 23, lines 30-47; wherein the release layer can be used as a temporary repair unit and can degrade under physiological conditions and wherein the release rate can be adjusted by varying the chemical structure of the release layer).

Regarding claim 3, Lau discloses that the insoluble fibrous component comprises a compound selected from poly(caprolactone), polyethylene terephthalate, fibrinogen, polyolefins, polyethylene, polypropylene, linear poly(ethylenimine), cellulose acetate, grafted cellulosics, poly (L-lactic acid), poly (ethyleneoxide), poly (hydroxyethylmethacrylate), poly (glycolic acid), poly vinylpyrrolidone, polyethylene glycol, polyethylene oxazoline, polyester, polyacrylic acid, polyacrylic acid esters, polyphosphezines, polycyanoacrylate, polyvinyl amines, polyethylene imines, polyethylene amines, polyacrylamides, cellulose, polyorthoesters, polyanhydrides, polyketals, polyacetals, polyureas, and polycarbonate (Column 14, Lines 55-67; Column 15, Lines 1-31; Column 25, Lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 4, Lau discloses that the insoluble fibrous component comprises Dacron (Column 25, Lines 1-14; wherein Extrinsic Evidence Rhodes (5,665,117) teaches that Dacron is a thrombogenic and fibrogenic material that initiates the formation of a thrombus in order to stimulate the incorporation of the prosthesis by fibrosis to the vessel wall in order to ensure a complete and permanent seal and prevent rupture of an aneurysm (Column 7, lines 7-36)).

Regarding claim 5, Lau discloses that the thrombogenic material at least partially blocks the entrance to a structure selected from the group consisting of an aneurysm, a fistula, and an opening in a blood vessel wall (Column 15, Lines 1-61; wherein the stent helps reinforce the vessel wall and isolate aneurysms).

Regarding claim 16, Lau discloses a method for using the stent of claim 1, the method comprising the step of implanting the stent in a living organism (Figures 14A-C; Figures 15A-C; Column 26, Lines 24-49.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Lau et al.** (US 5,876,432).

Regarding claim 2, Lau discloses that the insoluble fibrous component comprises a small fiber but fails to explicitly disclose that it is a nanofiber

Application/Control Number: 10/597,901 Page 5

Art Unit: 3734

However, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the fiber a nanofiber, since it has been held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 23, 2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

 Applicant argues that the fibrous component is inside the stent and is therefore not wrapped around the stent

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The claim language merely states that the fibrous component has to be wrapped around the stent and there is nothing in the claim language that precludes the fibrous component from being inside the stent. Therefore, since Lau discloses a fibrous component that is wrapped around the inside of the stent, the device of Lau meets the claim language and the arguments are not persuasive.

 Applicant argues that Lau cannot teach a reinforcing thrombus plug since the fibrous layer of Lau is within the stent.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The claim language merely states that the fibrous layer is wrapped around the stent and forms a reinforcing thrombus plug and there is nothing in the claim language that precludes the fibrous

Application/Control Number: 10/597,901

Art Unit: 3734

component from being inside the stent or that further defines how the fibrous layer forms a reinforcing thrombus plug. Therefore, since Lau discloses a fibrous component that is wrapped around the inside of the stent and helps reinforce the stent and vessel wall and helps isolate/plug the aneurysm, the device of Lau can be interpreted as forming a thrombus plug that isolates aneurysms and therefore the arguments are not persuasive.

Page 6

 Applicant argues that Lau doesn't teach a release (middle) layer that is between the stent and the (outer) fibrous layer.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a middle release layer that is between the stent and the outer fibrous layer) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

The Examiner additionally notes that claim 1 is claiming a stent member, a release layer and an insoluble fibrous component that is wrapped around the stent and Lau teaches a stent member (220), a release layer (224; C23, L30-47;C24, L55-C25, L14; C25, L38-53) and an insoluble fibrous component that is wrapped around the inside of the stent (222) and therefore the arguments are not persuasive.

 Applicant argues that Lau doesn't teach a release layer that degrades only after implantation, since Lau teaches that element 224 is a tubular component. Application/Control Number: 10/597,901

Page 7

Art Unit: 3734

The Examiner respectfully disagrees, Lau discloses that element 224 (the release layer) can be used as a temporary repair unit and can degrade under physiological conditions and that the release rate can be adjusted by varying the chemical structure of the release layer (Column 23, lines 30-47). Since Lau not only discloses that 224 is a tubular component, which can be interpreted as a layer on the stent but additionally discloses that the release layer 224 can degrade under the physiological conditions that occur when the stent is implanted within the body and that the release rate can be adjusted as desired, the arguments are not persuasive.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ERIN COLELLO whose telephone number is (571)270-

Application/Control Number: 10/597,901 Page 8

Art Unit: 3734

3212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:00 am and 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, *please contact* the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jackson, *at* (571) 272-4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

If there are any inquiries that are not being addressed by first contacting the Examiner or the Supervisor, you may send an email inquiry to

TC3700 Workgroup D Inquiries@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/E. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3734

/Gary Jackson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3734